

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/617,910	ELLIOTT, GILLIAN DAPHNE
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Zachariah Lucas	1648

All Participants:

(1) Zachariah Lucas.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Susan Alpert Siegel, Ph.D..

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 6 April 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NA

Claims discussed:

19-21

Prior art documents discussed:

NA

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The Examiner noted that claims 19-21 depend from cancelled claim 1 and asked for permission to amend the claims to depend from allowed claim 12. The Applicant agreed.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)